### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Robert Lubarsky Fred Richman Florida Atlantic University

July 27, 2009

- 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト

#### Outline

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra Comaximality References

#### The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Comaximality

References

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Is it just true constructively?

- 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト

### The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Is it just true constructively?

No! Example: Sheaves over  $\mathbb{C}$ . (Fourman-Hyland)

(4回) (4 注) (4 注)

# The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Is it just true constructively? No! Example: Sheaves over  $\mathbb{C}$ . (Fourman-Hyland) Is it ever true constructively?

A (1) < A (2) < A (2) </p>

# The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Is it just true constructively?

No! Example: Sheaves over  $\mathbb{C}$ . (Fourman-Hyland)

Is it ever true constructively?

- Over a discrete field.
- Under Countable Choice.
- When the coefficients are Cauchy reals. (Ruitenburg)

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

### The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

What's the problem constructively?

- 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト

### The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

What's the problem constructively?

- Roots that may or may not be repeated.

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

# The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

What's the problem constructively?

- Roots that may or may not be repeated.
- How can you tell when a root is repeated?

A (1) < A (2) < A (2) </p>

# The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

What's the problem constructively?

- Roots that may or may not be repeated.
- How can you tell when a root is repeated?
- Compare f and its derivative f'.

・ 同下 ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

# The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

What's the problem constructively?

- Roots that may or may not be repeated.
- How can you tell when a root is repeated?
- Compare f and its derivative f'.
- How can you see if they have a common factor?

A (1) < A (2) < A (2) </p>

# The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

What's the problem constructively?

- Roots that may or may not be repeated.
- How can you tell when a root is repeated?
- Compare f and its derivative f'.
- How can you see if they have a common factor?
- The Euclidean algorithm.

・ 同下 ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

#### Theorem

Let f be a nonconstant monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the assumption that f has no roots leads to a contradiction.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

# The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

#### Theorem

Let f be a nonconstant monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the assumption that f has no roots leads to a contradiction.

#### Proof.

Apply the Euclidean algorithm to f and f'.

A (10) × (10) × (10) ×

# The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

#### Theorem

Let f be a nonconstant monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the assumption that f has no roots leads to a contradiction.

#### Proof.

Apply the Euclidean algorithm to f and f'. If the GCD has degree > 1, you're done by induction.

- 同 ト - ヨ ト - - ヨ ト

# The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

#### Theorem

Let f be a nonconstant monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the assumption that f has no roots leads to a contradiction.

#### Proof.

Apply the Euclidean algorithm to f and f'. If the GCD has degree > 1, you're done by induction. Else we have polynomials s and t with sf + tf' = 1.

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

#### Theorem

Let f be a nonconstant monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the assumption that f has no roots leads to a contradiction.

#### Proof.

Apply the Euclidean algorithm to f and f'. If the GCD has degree > 1, you're done by induction. Else we have polynomials s and t with sf + tf' = 1. Approximate f by  $g = \prod_i (x - q_i)$ ; note f' is approximated by g'.

(4月) キョン キョン

### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

#### Theorem

Let f be a nonconstant monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the assumption that f has no roots leads to a contradiction.

#### Proof.

Apply the Euclidean algorithm to f and f'. If the GCD has degree > 1, you're done by induction. Else we have polynomials s and t with sf + tf' = 1. Approximate f by  $g = \prod_i (x - q_i)$ ; note f' is approximated by g'. So  $f(q_1)$  is close to 0,

A (1) < A (2) < A (2) </p>

### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

#### Theorem

Let f be a nonconstant monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the assumption that f has no roots leads to a contradiction.

#### Proof.

Apply the Euclidean algorithm to f and f'. If the GCD has degree > 1, you're done by induction. Else we have polynomials s and t with sf + tf' = 1. Approximate f by  $g = \prod_i (x - q_i)$ ; note f' is approximated by g'. So  $f(q_1)$  is close to 0,  $f'(q_1)$  is bounded away from 0,

(4月) キョン キョン

### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

#### Theorem

Let f be a nonconstant monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the assumption that f has no roots leads to a contradiction.

#### Proof.

Apply the Euclidean algorithm to f and f'. If the GCD has degree > 1, you're done by induction. Else we have polynomials s and t with sf + tf' = 1. Approximate f by  $g = \prod_i (x - q_i)$ ; note f' is approximated by g'. So  $f(q_1)$  is close to 0,  $f'(q_1)$  is bounded away from 0,  $g'(q_1) = \prod_{i \neq 1} (q_1 - q_i)$ ,

A (1) × A (2) × A (2) ×

### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

#### Theorem

Let f be a nonconstant monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the assumption that f has no roots leads to a contradiction.

#### Proof.

Apply the Euclidean algorithm to f and f'. If the GCD has degree > 1, you're done by induction. Else we have polynomials s and t with sf + tf' = 1. Approximate f by  $g = \prod_i (x - q_i)$ ; note f' is approximated by g'. So  $f(q_1)$  is close to 0,  $f'(q_1)$  is bounded away from 0,  $g'(q_1) = \prod_{i \neq 1} (q_1 - q_i)$ , and  $q_1$  is bounded away from each of the other  $q_i$ 's.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

#### Theorem

Let f be a nonconstant monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the assumption that f has no roots leads to a contradiction.

#### Proof.

Apply the Euclidean algorithm to f and f'. If the GCD has degree > 1, you're done by induction. Else we have polynomials s and t with sf + tf' = 1. Approximate f by  $g = \prod_i (x - q_i)$ ; note f' is approximated by g'. So  $f(q_1)$  is close to 0,  $f'(q_1)$  is bounded away from 0,  $g'(q_1) = \prod_{i \neq 1} (q_1 - q_i)$ , and  $q_1$  is bounded away from each of the other  $q_i$ 's. So we have coherent approximations to the root near  $q_1$ .

A (1) × A (2) × A (2) ×

### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

So the root set S of a polynomial may not be inhabited, but it can't be empty.

- 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト

### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

So the root set S of a polynomial may not be inhabited, but it can't be empty. In fact,

► the distance d(z, S) = inf<sub>x∈S</sub> d(z, x) may not be defined, but the quasi-distance δ(z, S) = sup<sub>x∈S</sub> d(z, x) is;

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

So the root set S of a polynomial may not be inhabited, but it can't be empty. In fact,

► the distance d(z, S) = inf<sub>x∈S</sub> d(z, x) may not be defined, but the quasi-distance δ(z, S) = sup<sub>x∈S</sub> d(z, x) is;

・ 同下 ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

S may not be finite, but it's quasi-finite; and

### The Weak Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

So the root set S of a polynomial may not be inhabited, but it can't be empty. In fact,

► the distance d(z, S) = inf<sub>x∈S</sub> d(z, x) may not be defined, but the quasi-distance δ(z, S) = sup<sub>x∈S</sub> d(z, x) is;

A (1) < A (2) < A (2) </p>

- ► *S* may not be finite, but it's *quasi-finite*; and
- ▶ there is a Riesz space of functions on *S*.

### Comaximality

#### Definition

In a ring *R*, *a* and *b* are *comaximal* if the ideal (a, b) equals *R*; i.e. for some  $s, t \in R$  sa + tb = 1.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Comaximality

#### Definition

In a ring *R*, *a* and *b* are *comaximal* if the ideal (a, b) equals *R*; i.e. for some  $s, t \in R$  sa + tb = 1.

#### Definition

The resultant of polynomials a(x) and b(x), Res(a, b), is the determinant of the Sylvester matrix.

A (1) × A (2) × A (2) ×

# Comaximality

#### Definition

In a ring *R*, *a* and *b* are *comaximal* if the ideal (a, b) equals *R*; i.e. for some  $s, t \in R$  sa + tb = 1.

### Definition

The resultant of polynomials a(x) and b(x), Res(a, b), is the determinant of the Sylvester matrix.

Example: For  $a = \sum_{i} a_i x^i$  and  $b = \sum_{j} b_j x^j$ , the Sylvester matrix is

/ a2	$a_1$	$a_0$	0	0	0 \	
0	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	$a_1$	$a_0$	0	0	
0	0	<b>a</b> 2	$a_1$	$a_0$	0	
0	0	0	<b>a</b> 2	$a_1$	<i>a</i> 0	•
$b_4$	b <sub>3</sub>	$b_2$	$b_1$	$b_0$	0	
0	$b_4$	b <sub>3</sub>	$b_2$	$b_1$	$b_0/$	

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### Comaximality

#### Definition

In a ring *R*, *a* and *b* are *comaximal* if the ideal (a, b) equals *R*; i.e. for some  $s, t \in R$  sa + tb = 1.

#### Definition

The resultant of polynomials a(x) and b(x), Res(a, b), is the determinant of the Sylvester matrix.

Standard Facts If  $a = \Pi(x - q_i)$  and  $b = \Pi(x - r_j)$ , then  $\text{Res}(a, b) = \Pi(q_i - r_j)$ .

-

# Comaximality

#### Definition

In a ring *R*, *a* and *b* are *comaximal* if the ideal (a, b) equals *R*; i.e. for some  $s, t \in R$  sa + tb = 1.

### Definition

The resultant of polynomials a(x) and b(x), Res(a, b), is the determinant of the Sylvester matrix.

<u>Standard Facts</u> If  $a = \Pi(x - q_i)$  and  $b = \Pi(x - r_j)$ , then  $\text{Res}(a, b) = \Pi(q_i - r_j)$ . So over a discrete field, Res(a, b) = 0 iff a and b have a common non-trivial factor.

# Comaximality

#### Definition

In a ring *R*, *a* and *b* are *comaximal* if the ideal (a, b) equals *R*; i.e. for some  $s, t \in R$  sa + tb = 1.

### Definition

The resultant of polynomials a(x) and b(x), Res(a, b), is the determinant of the Sylvester matrix.

<u>Standard Facts</u> If  $a = \Pi(x - q_i)$  and  $b = \Pi(x - r_j)$ , then  $\text{Res}(a, b) = \Pi(q_i - r_j)$ . So over a discrete field, Res(a, b) = 0 iff a and b have a common non-trivial factor.

This doesn't generalize well to arbitrary rings. Example: Over  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ ,  $x^2 + 4$  and  $x^2 + 4x$  have a resultant of 0 but no non-trivial common factors. Hence:

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Comaximality

#### Definition

In a ring *R*, *a* and *b* are *comaximal* if the ideal (a, b) equals *R*; i.e. for some  $s, t \in R$  sa + tb = 1.

### Definition

The resultant of polynomials a(x) and b(x), Res(a, b), is the determinant of the Sylvester matrix.

Standard Facts If  $a = \Pi(x - q_i)$  and  $b = \Pi(x - r_j)$ , then  $\text{Res}(a, b) = \Pi(q_i - r_j)$ . So over a discrete field, Res(a, b) = 0 iff a and b have a common non-trivial factor.

#### Theorem

For a and b monic, Res(a, b) is a unit iff a and b are comaximal.

# Comaximality

### Definition

In a ring *R*, *a* and *b* are *comaximal* if the ideal (a, b) equals *R*; i.e. for some  $s, t \in R$  sa + tb = 1.

### Definition

The resultant of polynomials a(x) and b(x), Res(a, b), is the determinant of the Sylvester matrix.

#### Theorem

For a and b monic, Res(a, b) is a unit iff a and b are comaximal.

### Corollary

For a and b monic polynomials over  $\mathbb{C}$ , Res(a, b) is a unit iff a and b are comaximal iff there is a positive distance between the roots of a and b.

### References

- Fourman/Hyland, Sheaf models for analysis, in M.P. Fourman, C.J. Mulvey, and D.S. Scott (eds.), *Applications of Sheaves, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 753*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1979, p. 280-301
- Lubarsky/Richman, Zero Sets of Univariate Polynomials, TAMS, to appear
- Richman, The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra: a Constructive Development without Choice, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, v. 196, 2000, p. 213-230
- Ruitenburg, Constructing roots of polynomials over the complex numbers, Computational aspects of Lie group representations and related topics (Amsterdam 1996) 107–128, CWI Tract, 84, Math. Centrum, Centrum Wisk. Inform., Amsterdam 1991. MR 92g:03085 Control of the control of