## The effect of small and unvaccinated subpopulations on polio elimination

## Celeste Vallejo<sup>1</sup> Carl A. B. Pearson<sup>2</sup> James Koopman<sup>3</sup> Thomas Hladish<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mathematical Biosciences Institute, The Ohio State University, Jennings Hall <sup>3</sup>rd Floor, 1735 Neil Ave. Columbus, OH 43210 vallejo.26@osu.edu <sup>2</sup> Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine; South African Centre for Epidemiological Modeling and Analysis, Stellenbosch University; Very Good Research & Development, LLC carl.pearson@lshtm.ac.uk <sup>3</sup> Department of Epidemiology, University of Michigan, 1415 Washington Heights Ann Arbor, MI 48109 jkoopman@umich.edu <sup>4</sup> Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida; Department of Biology, University of Florida, 220 Bartram Hall, P.O. Box 118525 Gainesville, FL 32611

tjhladish@gmail.com

Polio eradication efforts have reduced the regions of endemic circulation down to Pakistan, Afghanistan, and Nigeria. One of the challenges involved in eliminating polio in these regions is that political conflict has the potential to form isolated subpopulations, making vaccination and reporting of symptomatic cases (surveillance) challenging. Additionally, polio can circulate without detection in a population because few infections are symptomatic and those that have already had a poliovirus infection are asymptomatic during subsequent infections. Asymptomatic transmission coupled with poor surveillance can make it difficult to determine when the virus has gone extinct in a population. We use a discrete-individual stochastic counting process model of polio to access the impact that small and unvaccinated subpopulations may have as countries move towards elimination. We consider their effect in the context of a well-mixed population as well as within a metapopulation framework.